

2015 Renewal Project Scoring

Rank	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Project:	Amherst	Family Mill	Millyard I	Millyard II	PHP IV	PHP V	PHP VI	PHP VIIa	Pine St	Somerville	Safe Haven	
Agency:	FIT	FIT	FIT	FIT	FIT	FIT	FIT	FIT	CFS	Harbor Homes	Helping Hands	
<b>Total Score</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Summary	MAX	MIN	Range
1. Population served	12	0	12
2. Program Capacity	10	0	10
3. Program Measurements	50	0	50
4. Financial	15	0	15
5. HMIS Participation	5	0	5
6. Leveraging	6	0	6
7. CoC Participation	21	0	21
8. Housing First	5	0	5

<b>Total Possible Points</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>124</b>
------------------------------	------------	----------	------------

	MAX	MIN	Range
1. Population served	12	0	12

Priority Populations are Chronically Homeless, Families, Youth, and Veterans

Percentage of participants served are Priority Populations?	CH	FAM	VET
100%	5	2	3
75-99%	3	1	2
50-74%	1	0	1

<b>2. Program Capacity</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
----------------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

All Programs:		
96-100% capacity	10	Q8
86-95% capacity	8	
76-85% capacity	5	
66-75% capacity	3	
less than 65% capacity	0	

<b>3. Program Measurements</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50</b>
--------------------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

<b>All Housing Programs</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>
-----------------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

Percentage of participants who exited program (Leavers) who have increased employment income? (HUD GOAL 20%)		
33-100%	5	Q24/25
20-33%	3	
1-19%	1	
0%	0	

No program exits Take below score

Percentage of current participants in the program (Stayers) who have increased employment income? (HUD Goal = 20%)		
33-100%	5	Q24/25
20-32%	3	
1-19%	1	
0%	0	

Percentage of participants who exited the program (Leavers) who increased non-employment income by program exit? (HUD GOAL 54%)		
91-100%	5	Q24/26
80-90%	4	
54-79%	3	
25-53%	1	
0-24%	0	

No program exits Take below score

Percentage of current participants (Stayers) who have increased non-employment income? (HUD GOAL 54%)		
91-100%	5	Q24/26
80-90%	4	
54-79%	3	
25-53%	1	
0-24%	0	

Percentage of participants (Leavers) who had non-cash mainstream benefits at program exit? (HUD GOAL 56%)		
91-100%	5	Q26 a
80-90%	4	
56-79%	3	
34-55%	2	
20-33%	1	
less than 20%	0	

Percentage of current participants (Stayers) who have non-cash mainstream benefits? (HUD GOAL 56%)		
91-100%	5	Q26 b
80-90%	4	
56-79%	3	
34-55%	2	
20-33%	1	
less than 20%	0	

<b>Permanant Housing Programs Only:</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
---	-----------	----------	-----------

Percentage of persons who stayed in permanant housing program or exited to another Permanant Housing setting? (HUD Goal = 80%)

90-100%	10
85 -89%	8
80-84%	5
75-79%	2
less than 75%	0

<b>Transitional Housing Programs Only:</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
--	-----------	----------	-----------

Percentage of participants who exited Transitional Housing and went on to a Permanent Housing setting? (HUD GOAL 80%)

90-100%	10
85-89%	7
80-84%	4
75-79%	2
65-74%	1
less than 65%	0

<b>4. Financial</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>
---------------------	----------	----------	----------

How often does the program draw down funds from HUD?

Monthly	3
Quarterly	1
Semi-annually	0
Annually	0

Has the project spent its entire grant funds annually?

Yes	5
No	0

<b>5. HMIS Participation</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>
------------------------------	----------	----------	----------

NH HMIS/BHHS will provide this information.

Percentage of HMIS client records with "null or missing values" as reported in the most recent submitted APR to HUD:

0%	5
less than 3% of client records	2
more than 3% of client records	0

<b>6. Leveraging</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>
----------------------	----------	----------	----------

What percentage of the project budget did the project leverage with local and/or mainstream resources? (Leveraging letters must be on file)

150+%	6
-------	---

125-149%	4
100-124%	2
81-99%	1
less than 80%	0

Exhibit 2 - BUT would need letters

**7. CoC Participation:** 21 0 21

At what percentage of MCoC meetings in the past year was this organization/agency represented?	
80% or more	4
50-79%	2
less than 50%	0

Is a representative from your organization involved in a MCoC committee (Community Awareness, Data Collection, Homeless Liaison, Leadership)

If yes, and chairs/leads committee 5

Attends at least 66% of committee meetings/committee/year for 2+ committees 5

Attends at least 66% of committee meetings/year for 1 committee 3

Does your agency participate in Manchester's Coordinated Assessment System?

100% of monthly Outcomes Reports turned in on time 7

75 - 99% of monthly Outcomes Reports turned in on time 3

50 - 74% of monthly Outcomes Reports turned in on time 1

0 - 49% of monthly Outcomes Reports turned in on time 0

**8. Housing First:** 5 0 5

To what degree is this program Housing First?

Low Barrier to Entry	3
Housing First	2

2015 Renewal Project Scoring

Reviewer Name:

Rank	1	1	1	1
Project:	YWH I	YWH II	YWH III	YWH V
Agency:	TWH	TWH	TWH	TWH
<b>Total Score</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Summary	MAX	MIN	Range
1. Population served	12	0	12
2. Program Capacity	10	0	10
3. Program Measurements	50	0	50
4. Financial	15	0	15
5. HMIS Participation	5	0	5
6. Leveraging	6	0	6
7. CoC Participation	21	0	21
8. Housing First	5	0	5

<b>Total Possible Points</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>124</b>
------------------------------	------------	----------	------------

	MAX	MIN	Range
1. Population served	12	0	12

Priority Populations are Chronically Homeless, Families, Youth, and Veterans

Percentage of participants served are Priority Populations?	CH	FAM	VET
100%	5	2	3
75-99%	3	1	2
50-74%	1	0	1

<b>2. Program Capacity</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
----------------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

All Programs:		
96-100% capacity	10	Q8
86-95% capacity	8	
76-85% capacity	5	
66-75% capacity	3	
less than 65% capacity	0	

<b>3. Program Measurements</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50</b>
--------------------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

<b>All Housing Programs</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>
-----------------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

Percentage of participants who exited program (Leavers) who have increased employment income? (HUD GOAL 20%)		
33-100%	5	Q24/25
20-33%	3	
1-19%	1	
0%	0	

No program exits Take below score

Percentage of current participants in the program (Stayers) who have increased employment income? (HUD Goal = 20%)		
33-100%	5	Q24/25
20-32%	3	
1-19%	1	
0%	0	

Percentage of participants who exited the program (Leavers) who increased non-employment income by program exit? (HUD GOAL 54%)		
91-100%	5	Q24/26
80-90%	4	
54-79%	3	
25-53%	1	
0-24%	0	

No program exits Take below score

Percentage of current participants (Stayers) who have increased non-employment income? (HUD GOAL 54%)		
91-100%	5	Q24/26
80-90%	4	
54-79%	3	
25-53%	1	
0-24%	0	

Percentage of participants (Leavers) who had non-cash mainstream benefits at program exit? (HUD GOAL 56%)		
91-100%	5	Q26 a
80-90%	4	
56-79%	3	
34-55%	2	
20-33%	1	
less than 20%	0	

Percentage of current participants (Stayers) who have non-cash mainstream benefits? (HUD GOAL 56%)		
91-100%	5	Q26 b
80-90%	4	
56-79%	3	
34-55%	2	
20-33%	1	
less than 20%	0	

<b>Permanant Housing Programs Only:</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
---	-----------	----------	-----------

Percentage of persons who stayed in permanant housing program or exited to another Permanant Housing setting? (HUD Goal = 80%)

90-100%	10
85 -89%	8
80-84%	5
75-79%	2
less than 75%	0

<b>Transitional Housing Programs Only:</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
--	-----------	----------	-----------

Percentage of participants who exited Transitional Housing and went on to a Permanent Housing setting? (HUD GOAL 80%)

90-100%	10
85-89%	7
80-84%	4
75-79%	2
65-74%	1
less than 65%	0

Q36b, Housing Performance Measure BUT look at Q29 for backup info.

<b>4. Financial</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>
---------------------	----------	----------	----------

How often does the program draw down funds from HUD?

Monthly	3
Quarterly	1
Semi-annually	0
Annually	0

Has the project spent its entire grant funds annually?

Yes	5
No	0

<b>5. HMIS Participation</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>
------------------------------	----------	----------	----------

NH HMIS/BHHS will provide this information.

Percentage of HMIS client records with "null or missing values" as reported in the most recent submitted APR to HUD:

0%	5
less than 3% of client records	2
more than 3% of client records	0

<b>6. Leveraging</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>
----------------------	----------	----------	----------

What percentage of the project budget did the project leverage with local and/or mainstream resources? (Leveraging letters must be on file)

150+%	6
-------	---

125-149%	4
100-124%	2
81-99%	1
less than 80%	0

Exhibit 2 - BUT would need letters

**7. CoC Participation:** 21 0 21

At what percentage of MCoC meetings in the past year was this organization/agency represented?	
80% or more	4
50-79%	2
less than 50%	0

Is a representative from your organization involved in a MCoC committee (Community Awareness, Data Collection, Homeless Liaison, Leadership)

If yes, and chairs/leads committee 5

Attends at least 66% of committee meetings/committee/year for 2+ committees 5

Attends at least 66% of committee meetings/year for 1 committee 3

Does your agency participate in Manchester's Coordinated Assessment System?

100% of monthly Outcomes Reports turned in on time 7

75 - 99% of monthly Outcomes Reports turned in on time 3

50 - 74% of monthly Outcomes Reports turned in on time 1  
0 - 49% of monthly Outcomes Reports turned in on time 0

**8. Housing First:** 5 0 5

To what degree is this program Housing First?	
Low Barrier to Entry	3
Housing First	2